# CPG Follow-Up to EPA-CPG Meeting on Contaminant Mapping

April 14, 2015

SSP2 Locations Focus on Refining Mapping at Transitions

# Examples of the SSP2 Refining Mapping

Apparent Bias Explainable by Nature of the Sampling

Measured vs Predicted 2378 TCDD
(Validation Using SSP2 Samples)

Concentrations Follow Organized Patterns Determined by the Evolution of the River

# Data Show Value of Geomorphic Groupings

RM 0 – 14.7

High concentrations common where burial has not been great and uncommon where it has

Example of Relationship Between Surface Sediment Concentration and Bed Evolution

RM 10.9 Cross-section

# Hudson Data Illustrate the Organized Spatial Patterns Within a Group – in this case Fine Sediments

RM 10.9 Documents Reasonableness of Mapping-Based Target Areas

Design Data Compare Reasonably Well to RM 10.9 Mapping of 500 ppt Target Area Without Design Data

Non-Design Data in Target Area Near RM 10.9 Provide Reasonable Estimate of Concentrations

Means not statistically different

	n	mean	st dev
Combined	47	5613	7997
non-design	9	7268	7903